PATENT COOPERATION TRE

PCT

REC'D 23 FEB 2005

WIPO

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

433 WO	Applicant's or agent's file reference 1433 WO		FOR FURTHER ACTI	ON See Notifica Preliminary	tion of Transmittal of International Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
	annlica	tion No.	International filing date (day	//month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
International application No. PCT/EP 02/13850		06.12.2002		06.12.2002	
			th national classification and	IPC	
C30B15/10					•
Applicant	S FR	ANCE S.A. et al.			
			to the recent has been	propared by this	International Preliminary Examining
1. This	interna	ational preliminary exa and is transmitted to the	e applicant according to A	ticle 36.	,
Mulli	Jiny al				
			am to the total and the second	a anyor shoot	
2. This			of 5 sheets, including this		
×	Thio	roport le also accombi	anied by ANNEXES, i.e. sl	neets of the desc	ription, claims and/or drawings which have
M	been	amended and are the	basis for this report and/on 607 of the Administrative	r sheets containing Instructions und	ng rectifications made before this Authority der the PCT).
				e mondono am	30. 1
The	se ann	exes consist of a total	of 1 sheets.		
			unlating to the following its	me.	
3. This	s repor	t contains indications	relating to the following ite	ms:	
3. This	repor	t contains indications Basis of the opinion	relating to the following ite	ms:	
		Basis of the opinion			too and industrial applicability
1		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of	of opinion with regard to no		tep and industrial applicability
l 11		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Inventority	of opinion with regard to no	ovelty, inventive s	
l 11 111		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inve	of opinion with regard to no	ovelty, inventive s	tep and industrial applicability Ity, inventive step or industrial applicability;
l II III IV		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statemen citations and explan Certain documents	of opinion with regard to no ntion nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) wi nations supporting such sta cited	ovelty, inventive s th regard to nove atement	
I II III IV V		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statemer citations and explant Certain documents Certain defects in the	of opinion with regard to no ntion at under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) wi lations supporting such sta cited ne international application	ovelty, inventive s th regard to nove tement	
I II III IV V VI		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statemer citations and explant Certain documents Certain defects in the	of opinion with regard to no ntion nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) wi nations supporting such sta cited	ovelty, inventive s th regard to nove tement	
I II III IV V VI		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statemer citations and explant Certain documents Certain defects in the	of opinion with regard to no ntion at under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) wi lations supporting such sta cited ne international application	ovelty, inventive s th regard to nove tement	
I II IV V VI		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statemer citations and explant Certain documents Certain defects in the	of opinion with regard to no ntion at under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) wi lations supporting such sta cited ne international application	ovelty, inventive s th regard to nove tement	lty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
 1 1 V 		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statemer citations and explant Certain documents Certain defects in the	of opinion with regard to no ntion at under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) wi lations supporting such sta cited ne international application	ovelty, inventive s th regard to nove tement	lty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
 1 1 V 		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statemer citations and explant Certain documents Certain defects in the Certain observation	of opinion with regard to no ntion at under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) wi lations supporting such sta cited ne international application	th regard to nove	lty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
 V 	M C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statemer citations and explant Certain documents Certain defects in the Certain observation	of opinion with regard to no ntion at under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) wi lations supporting such sta cited ne international application	ovelty, inventive s th regard to nove tement	lty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
I III IV V VI VII Date of si	Marian Ma	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statemer citations and explan Certain documents Certain defects in the Certain observation ion of the demand	of opinion with regard to no ntion at under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) wi lations supporting such sta cited he international application is on the international appl	th regard to novel the ment ication Date of completic 23.02.2005	ity, inventive step or industrial applicability; on of this report
I II III IV V VI VII VIII Date of si	D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statemer citations and explan Certain documents Certain defects in the Certain observation ion of the demand	of opinion with regard to no ntion at under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with nations supporting such staticited the international application as on the international application	th regard to nove	ity, inventive step or industrial applicability; on of this report
I II III IV V VI VII VIII Date of si	D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statemer citations and explan Certain documents Certain defects in the Certain observation ion of the demand	of opinion with regard to no ntion at under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with nations supporting such stations cited are international application as on the international application as on the international application	th regard to novel the ment ication Date of completic 23.02.2005 Authorized Office	ity, inventive step or industrial applicability; on of this report
I II III IV V VI VII VIII Date of si	Machine Machin	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statemer citations and explan Certain documents Certain defects in the Certain observation ion of the demand	of opinion with regard to no ntion at under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) wi lations supporting such sta cited he international application as on the international appl titional	th regard to novel the ment ication Date of completic 23.02.2005	ity, inventive step or industrial applicability; on of this report

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No.

PCT/EP 02/13850

	Racie	of the	report
ı.	Dasis	OI LIIC	, ope

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as *originally filed* and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Desc 1-7	ription, Pages	as originally filed				
	Clain 1-7	ns, Numbers	received on 08.12.2004 with letter of 08.12.2004				
	Drav	vings, Sheets					
	1/1		as originally filed				
2.	With	With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
			able or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:				
	1110	the lenguage of a trans	slation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).				
		t multipation of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).					
		the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).					
3	. With	n regard to any nucleo mational preliminary ex	tide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the xamination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:				
		contained in the interr	national application in written form.				
		filed together with the	international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subsequent	tly to this Authority in written form.				
		furnished subsequent	tly to this Authority in computer readable form.				
	:- the international applica		ne subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure oplication as filed has been furnished.				
		The statement that the listing has been furni	ne information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence shed.				
	4. Th	e amendments have re	esulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				
		the drawings,	sheets:				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 02/13850

5. 🏻	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been m been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).		
	•	 	d +.

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 1-7

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims 1-7

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-7

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet



Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following document:

"ABSTRACTS + INDEXES, AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY. COLUMBUS, US" CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS + INDEXES, AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY. COLUMBUS, US, vol. 105, no. 4, 28 July 1986 (1986-07-28), XP000185916 ISSN: 0009-2258

Novelty

No single prior art document in the international search report discloses a vessel comprising the silicon composite thermet sprayed coating having the claimed ternary composition of metal silicon:silicon nitride:silicon oxide and in the range specified in claim 1. The method, as set out in claim 6, to produce this vessel is not taught either in the prior art. The present application therefore meets the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-7 is new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Inventive step

The application addresses the problem of finding coatings for silicon holding vessels which protect molten silicon from contamination arising from contact with the material of the holding vessel (crucible). Prior art coatings involving oxides and nitrides are inadequate from the point of view of mechanical properties due to poor sinterability. The solution proposed by the application is to include silicon metal in a specific ratio range in a mixture containing silicon nitride and silicon oxides which is applied as a thermet coating to the interior wall of the holding vessel. D1, considered as the closest prior art, teaches using a "releasing-agent layer" comprising a layer of Si₃N₄, SiO₂,SiO or BN mixed with Si. D1 does not suggest the specific ternary composition and range presently claimed. The applicant has shown that specific technical effects (binding strength, coating strength, wettability) are achieved by this ternary composition. Since this composition nor the achieved effects are suggested in D1 or other prior art documents, the subject matter of claim 1 and the corresponding method claim 6 is not considered to be obvious. The present application thus meets the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-7 involves an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

Industrial applicability

The claimed subject matter is considered to be industrially applicable and thus fulfilling the

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY

International application No. PCT/EP 02/13850

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

requirements of Article 33(4) PCT.